Brian Adams #J-79083	EUED		
Name and Prisoner/Booking Number	FILED		
California Health Care Facility			
P.O. Box 32050	APR 2 4 2020  CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  BY  DEPUTY SLERK		
Mailing Address Stockton, CA. 95213			
City, State, Zip Code			
(Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may res	ult in dismissal of this action.)		
	ATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
Brian Trent Adams	)		
(Full Name of Plaintiff) Plaintiff,	. ) `		
V.	) CASE NO. <b>2:</b> 2 0 - CV - 0 8 4 4 KIN PC		
Kevin Chappell	(To be supplied by the Clerk)		
(1) (Full Name of Defendant)	, )		
(2)	) }		
(3)	CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT  BY A PRISONER  JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
(4)	, ) MOriginal Complaint		
Defendant(s).	) □First Amended Complaint		
Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them.	—) □Second Amended Complaint		
	) Libecola / Michaela Complaint		
A. JUI	RISDICTION		
1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action purs	uant to:		
<b>▼</b> 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1983	•		
	own Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).		
☐ Other:			
2. Institution/city where violation occurred: Cali	ifornia Health Care Facility - Stockton, CA.		

#### **B. DEFENDANTS**

	Name of first Defendant: Kevin Chappell Commissioner	at Board of Parole Hearings
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
	Name of second Defendant:	• •
	(Position and Title)	at (Institution)
3.	Name of third Defendant:	The third Defendant is employed as:
	(Position and Title)	at (Institution)
•	Name of fourth Defendant:	The fourth Defendant is employed as:
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)
	Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a p	Drisoner? Yes No
	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Do a. First prior lawsuit:	escribe the previous lawsuits:
	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Do a. First prior lawsuit:  1. Parties: Brian Trent Adams	escribe the previous lawsuits:  V. Board of Parole Hearings, et al.
	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed?1 Do a. First prior lawsuit:  1. Parties: Brian Trent Adams  2. Court and case number: San Joaquin Co.  3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it a	escribe the previous lawsuits:
	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed?	escribe the previous lawsuits:
	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed?	escribe the previous lawsuits:
	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed?	escribe the previous lawsuits:
	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed?	escribe the previous lawsuits:
•	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed?	escribe the previous lawsuits:

If you filed more than three lawsuits, answer the questions listed above for each additional lawsuit on a separate page.

## D. CAUSE OF ACTION

1.	Sta Cons	te the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: First Amendment to the U.S. stitution.
2.		Aim I. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate claims.  Basic necessities
	enda	pporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim I. Describe exactly what each ant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal yor arguments.  Continued on Pages 3a3h.
4.	Vic	ury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). colation of First Amendment right to be free from retaliation for filing a
	1av	wsuit.
5.	Ad a.	Iministrative Remedies:  Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution?  Yes \( \subseteq \) No
	b.	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim I?
	c.	Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level?
	d.	If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not. Administrative remedy available to inmates "shall not be utilized in the following appeals: Board of Parole Hearings" [D.O.M. 54100.5]

1. Plaintiff Brian Trent Adams is herein after in

this cause of action referred to as Plaintiff.

2. Defendant Kevin Chappell was at all times mentioned in this complaint an agent of the state of California, employed as a Board of Parole Hearings Commissioner for the California Department of

corrections and Rehabilitation.

3. On March 26, 2018 Plaintiff filed a Civil complaint against kevin Chappell, and others alleging racial discrimination - against white inmates and in favor of Black inmates, by Board of Parole Hearing Commissioners, including kevin Chappell.

4. On February 21, 2019, in the same action, plaintiff Filed a First Amended Complaint (FAC) against kerin chappell, and others

5. On July 26, 2019 Plaintiff filed a Second

Amended Complaint, in the same action, against

Board of Parole Hearings, Jennifer shaffer,

Ali Zarrinnam, and Cynthia Fritz. Kevin

Chappell had been removed as a defendant.

6. When kevin Chappell was a defendant in

the complaint mentioned in paragraphs 3 and 4. Plaintiff served on kerin chappell plaintiff's special Interrogatories, set one.

- 7. As to the allegation in Paragraph 6,

  Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories, set one

  propounded on kevin chappell, and others,

  82 interrogatories designed to

  discover evidence of kevin chappell's,

  and others, racial bias exhibited in his

  capacity as a Board of Parole Hearings

  (BPH) Commissioner.
- 8. As to the allegations in paragraph's 6
  and 7, on January 15th, 2019 kevin Chappell
  signed a Verification which reads. ["I,
  Defendant k. Chappell, declare: I am a party
  in this action. I have read the foregoing
  responses to Plaintiff's Special Interrogatories,
  set one, and declare under penalty of perjury
  that they are true"]
- 9. Defendants, including kevin Chappell, objected to all but 5 of Plaintiff's Interrogatorios.
- 10. Plaintiff also served on kerin chappell, et al.

  Plaintiff's Demand for Production of

  Documents for Inspection and Copying,

  SET ONE.

sponses

1	11. Kevin Chappell verified reciept of Plaintiffs
2	request for production by signing a
3	Verification which reads: ["I, Defendant
4	K. Chappell, declare: I am a party in this
5	action. I have read the foregoing response
6	to Plaintiff's Request for Production of
7	Documents, Set One, and declare under
8	penalty of perjury that they are true.
9	Executed this 15th day of January, 2019
10	at Sacramento, California."]
11	
12	12. Pla; ntiff requested the production of the
13	Following documents:
14	1. The BPH transcripts from the Parole
15 16	suitability Hearings at which the
17	following inmates were found
18	suitable:
19	a. Jerome Tucker
	11 ·

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6. Terri Simmons

c. cornelius Thompson

d. Inmate Brinkley

e. Inmate "Alvin"

2. The first and last Forensic Risk Assessment, performed by the Forensic Assessment Division (FAD) of the BPH, of the following inmates:

3

5

6

1

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

2122

23

24

26

27

28

a. Jerome Tucker

b. Terri Simmons

c. Cornelius Thompson

d. Inmute Brinkley

e. Inmate Alvin.

f. Robert Earl stewart

3. The Confidential Portions of the central Files of the following inmates

a. Jerome Tucker

6. Terri Simmons

c. Cornelius Thompson

d. Inmate Brinkley

e. Inmate Alvin.

f. Robert Earl Stewart

13. As to the documents identified in Paragraph 12, kevin Chappell, and others, stated: ["A diligent search has been conducted and the responsive records have been located for the individuals identified above. Yet, aftendants are withholding these records on the grounds of non-party privacy rights and the grounds identified in the above objections."]

14. On April 14th, 2020 Plaintiff appeared for a Parole Suitability Hearing, at the California Health Care Facility before Commissioner Klvin Chappell.

15. The parole Suitability Hearing described in paragraph 14 was electronically recorded and transcribed.

16. The transcript is Public Record

17. During the Scitability Hearing Kevin Chappell referenced Plaintiff's Civil Complaint which included cynthia Fritz as a defendant -i.e. Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (SAC) described in paragraph 5.

18. Kevin chappell concealed from the public record the fact that he was a defendant in plaintiff's Initial complaint and FAC.

19. Kevin Chappell Chastised for filing his Civil Rights Complaint

20. Levin chappell as monished Plaintiff to focus on himself [not Black inmutes]

- 21. He endorsed Plaintiff as being psychotic and delusional.
- 22. Plaint; ff's then current mental health diagnosis was: ["Major depressive disorder, recurrent, severe, without psychotic features."]
- 24. Kevin chappell minimized the evidence in support of Plaintiff's claim despite the facts contained in the documents possessed and withheld from Plaintiff by kevin chappell himselt.
- 25. Plaintiff expressed his desire not to discuss his Civil Complaint, which was not related to the proceedings at hand.
- 26. Despite Plaintiff's protestations, kevin chappell insisted on discussing Plaintiff's civil complaint.
- 27. When Plaintiff attempted to describe correct evidence about his mental health diagnosis kevin chappell prevented Plaintiff from speaking on his own behalf by interjecting.

28. When Plaintiff attempted to describe information validating his claim of racism, kevin Chappell prevented plaintiff from speaking on his own behalf by vigorously interjecting.

Plaintiff was Deprived of his First Amendment Right

- 29. Kevin Chappell's chastisement of Plaintiff for filing a Civil Right's Complaint against him, coupled with his decision making authority over Plaintiff's future causes Plaintiff to feel threatened and seterred from filing future law suits.
- 30. Kevin Chappell's endorsement of Plaintiff as being psychotic and delusional causes plaintiff to be retaliated against for filing a Civil Rights Complaint against kevin chappell, and Violates Plaintiff's right to be free from retaliation in violation of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution
- 31. Kevin Chappell's admittance of false evidence regarding Plaintiff's claim, callsos plaintiff to be retaliated against in Violation of the First Amendment to the U.S.

Constitution

22-

32. Kevin Chappell's refusal to 1st Plaintiff
speak on his own behalt causes
Plaintiff to be retaliated against in
Violation of the First Amendment to the
U.S. Constitution.

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial.

28.

# **CLAIM II** State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: Claim II. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate claims. 2. ☐ Mail ☐ Access to the court ☐ Medical care ☐ Basic necessities ☐ Exercise of religion ☐ Retaliation ☐ Disciplinary proceedings ☐ Property ☐ Threat to safety ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Excessive force by an officer Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim II. Describe exactly what each Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal authority or arguments. 4. Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). Administrative Remedies. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim II? b. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim II to the highest level? c. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.

1.	Star	CLAIM III te the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated:
2.		Aim III. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate claims.  Basic necessities
	enda	pporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim III. Describe exactly what each ant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal or arguments.
4.	Inj	ury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).
<u></u> 5.	Ad	ministrative Remedies.
	a.	Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution?  \[ \sum \text{Yes} \sum \text{No.} \]
	b.	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim III?
	c. d.	Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim III to the highest level?  Yes No If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.

If you assert more than three Claims, answer the questions listed above for each additional Claim on a separate page.

### E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

State the relief you are seeking:  (1) Declare that	t the acts and omissions described
herein violated Plaintiff's rights under	
	t this Court deems necessary.
	·
I dealers and an acceptance of nanium, that the femoraine is	true and somest
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is	true and correct.
Executed on	MINIMOV
DATE	SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF
	e de la companya de l
(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or	
other person who helped prepare this complaint)	
(Signature of attorney, if any)	
(Digitature of automos, if any)	
(Attorney's address & telephone number)	

### ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space you may attach more pages, but you are strongly encouraged to limit your complaint to twenty-five pages. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. Remember, there is no need to attach exhibits to your complaint.

10+2

//

**2**8.

Furthermore, if I attempted to have
the enclosed complaint E-tiled by
mailing it to the Law Library, it may
be misplaced (and its the only copy I
have) - and staff will inspect it
which could make me vulnerable to
retaliation.

please accept the enclosed complaint, as delivered, for filing.

Thank you

Brian Adams J-79083

28.

2 of 2